

How an extended Perinatal Audit may improve Perinatal Policy.

Isabelle Dehaene, MD; Kristien Roelens, MD, PhD; Geert Page, MD, MSc

doi: 10.3109/14767058.2014.964673

Abstract


Objective: A perinatal audit has the intention of quality of care improvement based on analysis of perinatal death, with or without analysis of maternal morbidity and/or mortality. Additional analysis of cases of intrapartum asphyxia could provide more insight into ways to improve quality of perinatal care.

Methods: Analysis of cases of perinatal death and asphyxia in Jan Yperman Hospital, Ieper, Belgium, in 2012.

Results: Three perinatal deaths occurred, none were preventable. Nineteen cases of proven metabolic acidosis have been identified. Three cases are considered possibly preventable, four cases are considered preventable. In three (possibly) preventable cases, fetal monitoring was absent during the active second stage of labour. In two preventable cases, intervention following a significant ST event in the second stage of labour

was delayed. In one case intervention was delayed in the first stage of labour, while in another, indicated operative delivery in the second stage wasn't conducted.

Conclusions: Integrating intrapartum asphyxia in the perinatal audit gives an opportunity to identify and eliminate weak points in the perinatal care chain, thereby optimizing quality of care. Lessons learned from our internal audit are the value of fetal monitoring and adequate action on significant ST events during second stage of labour.



© 2014 Informa UK Ltd. This provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance. Fully formatted PDF and full text (HTML) versions will be made available soon.

DISCLAIMER: The ideas and opinions expressed in the journal's *Just Accepted* articles do not necessarily reflect those of Informa Healthcare (the Publisher), the Editors or the journal. The Publisher does not assume any responsibility for any injury and/or damage to persons or property arising from or related to any use of the material contained in these articles. The reader is advised to check the appropriate medical literature and the product information currently provided by the manufacturer of each drug to be administered to verify the dosages, the method and duration of administration, and contraindications. It is the responsibility of the treating physician or other health care professional, relying on his or her independent experience and knowledge of the patient, to determine drug dosages and the best treatment for the patient. *Just Accepted* articles have undergone full scientific review but none of the additional editorial preparation, such as copyediting, typesetting, and proofreading, as have articles published in the traditional manner. There may, therefore, be errors in *Just Accepted* articles that will be corrected in the final print and final online version of the article. Any use of the *Just Accepted* articles is subject to the express understanding that the papers have not yet gone through the full quality control process prior to publication.

How an extended Perinatal Audit may improve Perinatal Policy.

Dehaene Isabelle, MD¹; Roelens Kristien, MD, PhD²; Page Geert MD, MSc³

1, 3. Jan Yperman Hospital, Ieper, Belgium; 1,2. Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium

Corresponding author: Dehaene Isabelle, De Pintelaan 185, 9000 Ghent, Belgium,

isabelle.dehaene@ugent.be; +32 497 99 46 10

Word count: 2399

Key words: perinatal audit, perinatal death, intrapartum asphyxia, metabolic acidosis

Abstract

Objective A perinatal audit has the intention of quality of care improvement based on analysis of perinatal death, with or without analysis of maternal morbidity and/or mortality. Additional analysis of cases of intrapartum asphyxia could provide more insight into ways to improve quality of perinatal care.

Methods Analysis of cases of perinatal death and asphyxia in Jan Yperman Hospital, Ieper, Belgium, in 2012.

Results Three perinatal deaths occurred, none were preventable. Nineteen cases of proven metabolic acidosis have been identified. Three cases are considered possibly preventable, four cases are considered preventable. In three (possibly) preventable cases, fetal monitoring was absent during the active second stage of labour. In two preventable cases,

intervention following a significant ST event in the second stage of labour was delayed.

In one case intervention was delayed in the first stage of labour, while in another, indicated operative delivery in the second stage wasn't conducted.

Conclusions Integrating intrapartum asphyxia in the perinatal audit gives an opportunity to identify and eliminate weak points in the perinatal care chain, thereby optimizing quality of care. Lessons learned from our internal audit are the value of fetal monitoring and adequate action on significant ST events during second stage of labour.

1 Introduction

2 A perinatal audit is a structured critical analysis of the quality of perinatal care,

3 including diagnostic procedures and treatment, the use of facilities, and the resulting

4 outcome and quality of life of women and their children [1]. It compares ongoing activities

5 with an agreed standard, leading to the identification and utilization of opportunities for

6 bringing practice closer to that standard. Standard practice should be based on evidence

7 from research or, in the absence of evidence, by a consensus based on best clinical

8 judgment, within the context of local resources and skills [2].

9 Perinatal audits evaluate crude or cause-specific perinatal mortality, reviewing secular

10 trends over several years or comparing rates between similar institutions [2]. The purpose

11 of the perinatal audit is to recognize preventable deaths, and therefore improve perinatal

12 care. It will improve teaching and the collaboration in the perinatal chain, as it will yield

13 recommendations for local guideline development [1]. A perinatal audit may in- or exclude

14 cases of maternal morbidity and/or mortality.

15 Two commonly used perinatal process audits are topic audits and sentinel event audits.

16 Topic audits review a specific care activity for all patients. Sentinel event audits analyse

17 the process of care in a case with a defined adverse outcome. Traditionally, maternal and

18 perinatal death are used as sentinel events. We think it could be valuable to include

19 intrapartum asphyxia in the perinatal audit, so that avoidable asphyxia can be identified,

20 lessons can be learned, and measures taken.

21 The word 'asphyxia' is derived from Greek and means 'stopping of the pulse'. Asphyxia is

22 defined as a clinical syndrome with hypoxia and metabolic acidosis as a consequence of

23 hypoventilation [3]. The threshold of metabolic acidosis at delivery associated with

24 moderate or severe newborn complications is an umbilical arterial base excess (BE) of - 12

25 mmol/L. Increasing levels of metabolic acidosis are proportional to the severity of

26 newborn complications [4].

27 Methods

28 In 2013, using the institutional data of 2012, we performed an 'extended perinatal audit' in 29 the Jan
Yperman Hospital (JYH) (Ieper, Belgium, a secondary level, teaching maternity),

30 including not only perinatal and maternal deaths, but also intrapartum asphyxia.

31 Intrapartum asphyxia is defined as an arterial umbilical cord blood $\text{pH} \leq 7.05$ and a BE of

32 less than - 12 mmol/L (metabolic acidosis)[5]. Blood gas analysis was sampled according

33 to methodology of Huch et al [6]. Samples were collected immediately after birth and sent
34 to the lab instantly for analysis. When BE was not available, we used a 5 minute Apgar
35 score of less than or equal to 6 to establish the diagnosis of intrapartum asphyxia, as
36 proposed by Bonnaeres et al [5]. Fetal heart rate patterns were classified according to the
37 FIGO classification by one obstetrician (ID). In cases of doubt, a second obstetrician (GP)
38 was consulted and a consensus was made. We performed a Pubmed search and used the
39 following keywords: 'perinatal death', 'perinatal audit', 'perinatal asphyxia', 'intrapartum
40 asphyxia', 'intrapartum death', 'preventable perinatal death', and 'neonatal near miss'.

41 Results

42 In 2012, 1168 children were born in JYH. The perinatal mortality rate in Flanders was 6.5
43 per thousand and 2.6 per thousand in JYH [7]. In absolute numbers, there were 3 perinatal
44 deaths in JYH. Two were antenatal deaths and one early-neonatal. There were no maternal
45 deaths. The total number of neonates born with an arterial umbilical cord pH of less than
46 7.05, was 45 (figure 1). In 31 cases the BE was known. Of these, 19 term neonates had
47 metabolic acidosis, and thus intrapartum asphyxia. Ten were female, nine male. Seven had
48 meconium stained amniotic fluid during labour. As accounts for the fetal heart rate (FHR)
49 monitoring, one had a normal CTG, six had a suboptimal CTG, eight had an abnormal
50 CTG, of which four had a significant ST event, and in four monitoring was lacking. Nine

51 neonates were born by vacuum extraction, two by secondary caesarean section, and eight
52 by spontaneous vaginal delivery. Of the four with significant ST event, two were born by
53 vacuum extraction, one by caesarean section, and one spontaneously. In total, four of the
54 19 newborns were admitted to the neonatal ward. In none of the cases there was antenatal
55 maternal or fetal morbidity. Two neonates were macrosomic (birth weight > p 90), three
56 were growth restricted (birth weight < p 10).

57 Of the 14 cases with unknown BE, seven had an Apgar of ≤ 6 after 1 minute, out of which
58 four an Apgar of ≤ 6 after 5 minutes. The latter had an arterial pH between 6.84 en 7.0.
59 Only one had meconium stained amniotic fluid, one had a terminal CTG, two an abnormal,
60 and one a suboptimal CTG. One baby was born at 36 weeks and 6 days, one at 37 weeks
61 and 3 days, and two at 38 weeks. In one of 38 weeks gestational age patients labour was
62 induced electively. Two neonates were growth restricted, amongst whom the one with the
63 terminal CTG. The latter was born by secondary caesarean section, had to be intubated,
64 and was transferred to a neonatal intensive care unit in a tertiary hospital. The other three
65 were admitted to the neonatal ward of JYH, two of who were born by vacuum extraction.

66 As accounts for the 10 neonates with pH < 7.05, unknown BE and with Apgar > 6 after 5

67 minutes, all were at term, one had a normal CTG, five had a suboptimal CTG of which one
68 with a significant ST event, one had an abnormal CTG, and three had no monitoring in the
69 second stage of labour. The one with the significant ST event was born in time by vacuum
70 extraction, had an arterial pH of 7.05, and required no admission to the neonatal ward.

71 Discussion

72 The aim of the extended internal perinatal audit in JYH is to identify preventable neonatal
73 deaths and cases of intrapartum asphyxia. To our knowledge, this modification of the
74 perinatal audit has been proposed in only one other article [5]. Andreasen et al also
75 highlight the importance of analyzing cases of birth asphyxia to identify causes of
76 substandard care [8]. Pileggi et al documented the possible usefulness of neonatal near
77 miss cases in improving quality of perinatal care. They used a broader concept of neonatal
78 near misses, including very low birth weight, gestational age of less than 30 weeks at birth,
79 and Apgar of less than 7 at 5 minutes [9]. Avenant equally proposes the inclusion of
80 neonatal near misses in the identification of deficiencies in care. He points out that there is
81 no current definition for neonatal near miss. Intrapartum asphyxia is considered one of the
82 components of neonatal near misses [10]. Once identified, it is important to reflect on how
83 these cases could have been prevented, and to arise awareness and improve current
84 practice. For all health care workers, it is a moment of self-reflection and a learning

85 opportunity. In JYH, cases of preventable intrapartum asphyxia are also discussed in the
86 monthly “fetal monitor staff”, which allows a short time interval from event-to-feedback, 87 to ensure
the maximum positive impact on practice. Regular training in FHR monitoring
88 results in increased knowledge, better inter observer agreement, and improved quality of
89 care [8]. Ideally, every member involved in the perinatal care process should attend the
90 audit, thereby ensuring that all relevant information is considered, communication between
91 health workers is facilitated, and consensus and a sense of participation of the
92 recommendation is achieved. Confidentiality is important for ensuring a non-threatening
93 atmosphere and open discussion among staff [2].

94 In 2012, there were no preventable perinatal deaths in JYH. There were two fetal deaths:
95 an intra-uterine demise e causa ignota at 27 weeks 4 days and a foeticide at 31 weeks 5
96 days because of a large spina bifida. The neonatal death concerned one member of a
97 dichorionic twin, which had a metabolic syndrome incompatible with life. Hence,
98 analysis of perinatal deaths offered no data to help optimizing perinatal care.

99 After thorough analysis of the FHR monitoring patterns (with or without ST analysis), it
100 was thought that three cases of the 19 metabolic acidosis cases were classified as
101 preventable, and ~~that~~ four cases as definitively preventable (table 1). Of the 4 cases with
102 $\text{pH} \leq 7.05$, no known BE and Apgar ≤ 6 after 5 minutes, one was considered preventable.
103 In total 8 cases were considered (possibly) preventable, this accounts for 6.85 per

104 thousand live births in 2012. All neonates with a pH \leq 7.05 and an Apgar of $>$ 6 after 5
105 minutes had a good outcome, confirming the relevance of the Apgar score.

106 Interpretation of FHR monitoring remains a critical point in preventing intrapartum
107 asphyxia. Unfortunately, interpretation of FHR monitoring is subject to intra- and inter
108 observer variability [11,12], which impedes its interpretation and consequently the
109 application of appropriate interventions. Hence, the value of CTG and ST analysis is still
110 under debate. CTG combined with ST analysis has a somewhat better intra- and inter
111 observer reproducibility and better specificity regarding pregnancy outcome than CTG
112 alone [12]. Computerized analysis has been suggested, but has not yet found widespread
113 introduction into clinical practice [12]. The Cochrane review on FHR monitoring
114 published in 2013, stated that there has been no proven benefit regarding number of
115 babies with metabolic acidosis at birth, babies with neonatal encephalopathy, babies with
116 low Apgar scores at five minutes, or babies requiring neonatal intubation. There were,
117 however, fewer operative vaginal deliveries and admissions to special care units [13]. As
118 most cases of cerebral palsy are not caused by intrapartum hypoxia, only a small portion
119 of cerebral palsy is possibly preventable by continuous FHR monitoring during labour
120 [11]. On the other hand, FHR monitoring (with or without ST analysis) is the only

121 technique that has been subjected to a series of randomized controlled trials
122 demonstrating it to be at least as effective in reducing perinatal morbidity and mortality as
123 structured intermittent auscultation which requires one-on-one nursing, a requisite not
124 feasible in daily practice [14]. FHR monitoring has a high negative predictive value: a
125 normal CTG is highly predictive for absence of metabolic acidosis [11]. Nevertheless,
126 false negatives are reported with an incidence of 1.3% of acidosis [15]. If there is no
127 indication to intervene on the basis of ST analysis, the recording should continue until
128 delivery or at least within 20 minutes of delivery [12].

129 In two of the four preventable cases of proven metabolic acidosis, there was a significant
130 ST event in the second stage of labour with birth respectively 15 and 35 minutes after the
131 event. In the case where birth was effected 15 minutes later, FHR monitoring had been
132 abnormal for more than one hour due to tachysystole (more than 5 contractions in 10
133 minutes, averaged over a 30-minute window [16]). No intervention was taken to
134 neutralize the tachysystole, nor was a vacuum extraction performed to accelerate birth
135 after the significant event. In the third case monitoring was stopped after a persistent
136 bradycardia for 12 minutes. No operative delivery had been performed, the child was
137 born 17 minutes after switching off the monitor. In the fourth case, a secondary caesarean

138 section was performed. There had been an abnormal CTG for nearly two hours, there was
139 a significant ST event after one hour and 50 minutes of abnormal CTG, the baby was
140 born 35 minutes later. Earlier intervention could ~~probably~~ have avoided metabolic
141 acidosis.

142 In two of the three possibly preventable cases of metabolic acidosis there was no
143 continued FHR monitoring for more than 45 minutes. In the third case there was an
144 abnormal CTG in the active second stage of labour, with loss of variability and
145 bradycardia, which lasted for 30 minutes. No vacuum extraction was performed. Earlier
146 intervention could ~~possibly~~ have prevented the metabolic acidosis. Fortunately, none of
147 the children with (possibly) preventable proven metabolic acidosis showed signs of
148 cerebral palsy.

149 The preventable case in the group of children with Apgar score ≤ 6 after 5 minutes was
150 the fetus with a terminal CTG. Intervention was delayed, though it must be said that it is
151 impossible to know for how long the fetal stress had been present and whether earlier
152 intervention could have prevented the resulting cerebral palsy.

153 It can be concluded that in three out of seven (possibly) preventable cases of proven
154 metabolic acidosis, there was no continuous FHR monitoring during the second stage of
155 labour, and in two cases the neonate was born more than 10 minutes after a significant ST
156 event. We therefore infer that continuous monitoring is required during the active second
157 stage of labour. Providing a scalp electrode to all babies with suboptimal monitoring
158 allows adequate registration until birth. Contrary to the belief that monitoring in the
159 active second stage of labour is facultative because of imminent delivery, the active
160 second stage of labour is at high risk of fetal acidosis and requires a close follow-up of
161 the FHR [15].

162 Moreover, we ~~can~~ conclude that the STAN guidelines need to be followed. If there is a
163 significant ST event during the second stage of labour, delivery should follow within 10
164 minutes.

165 We recognize that possible intra- or inter observer variability is a weakness in our plea,
166 nevertheless, this is day-to-day reality and we think the lessons drawn are generalizable.
167 To minimize observer variability, we recommend intradisciplinary consultation in
168 doubtful monitors, as well as periodic learning moments for all concerned health care
169 personnel. Interpretation of CTG and STAN should be as accurate as possible in order to

170 provide appropriate action and good quality of intrapartum care. Our conclusions concur
171 with the conclusions of Andreassen et al. In their national study, inadequate fetal
172 monitoring was recognized as a main reason for birth asphyxia, as was lack of clinical
173 knowledge and skills. They state that local and national audits of difficult obstetric cases
174 can improve health outcome and should be performed regularly [8].

175 What our extended perinatal audit adds:

176 1. continuous FHR monitoring in active second stage of labour is mandatory

177 2. STAN guidelines should be followed meticulously

178 Conclusion

179 So far, a perinatal audit has been used to discuss perinatal deaths and maternal morbidity
180 and mortality, to improve the quality of perinatal care. Integration of cases of intrapartum
181 asphyxia and identification of flaws, may be a much better tool to achieve this goal. It
182 allows us to identify where the system or health care workers fail, and to act accordingly.
183 The lessons learned from the first 'extended perinatal audit' in our institution are that
184 continuous fetal monitoring could prevent cases of intrapartum asphyxia, and that an
185 appropriate treatment following significant ST events in the second stage of labour is
186 mandatory. In our opinion, an extended perinatal audit offers more levers to improve
187 perinatal care.

Declaration of interest


We declare there has been no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- [1] Stichting Perinatale Audit Nederland. A terme sterfte 2011. Perinatale audit: De voortgang. Utrecht, 2013.
- [2] Mancey-Jones M, Brugha R. Using perinatal audit to promote change: a review. *Health Policy and Planning*. 1997;12(3):183-192.
- [3] Wall S, Lee A, Carlo W, Goldenberg R, Niermeyer S, Darmstadt G, Keenan W, Bhutta Z, Perlman J, Lawn J. Reducing intrapartum-related neonatal deaths in low- and middle income countries – What works? *Semin Perinatol* 2010;34:395-407.
- [4] American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Umbilical cord blood gas and acid-base analysis. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 348. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006;108:1319-1322.
- [5] Bonnaerens A, Thaens A, Mesens T, Van Holsbeke C, De Jonge E, Gyselaers W. Identification of neonatal near miss by systematic screening for metabolic acidosis at birth. *F,V&V in ObGyn* 2011;3(4):281-285.
- [6] Huch A, Huch R, Rooth G. Guidelines for blood sampling and measurement of pH values in obstetrics. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 1994;54:165-175.
- [7] Perinatale Activiteiten in Vlaanderen 2012. H Cammu, E Martens, C Van Mol, Y Jacquemyn. SPE, Brussel, 2013.

- [8] Andreassen S, Backe B, Oian P. Claims for compensation after alleged birth asphyxia: a nationwide study covering 15 years. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2013;DOI:10.1111/aogs.12276
- [9] Pileggi C, Souza J, Cecatti J, Faundes A. Neonatal near miss approach in the 2005 WHO Global Survey Brazil. *J Pediatr (Rio J)* 2010;86:21-26.
- [10] Aventant T. Neonatal near miss: a measure of the quality of obstetric care. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2009;23:369-374.
- [11] Bernardes J, Ayres-de-Campos D. The persistent challenge of foetal heart rate monitoring. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 2010;22:104-109.
- [12] Amer-Wahlin I, Marsal K. ST analysis of fetal electrocardiography in labor. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2011;16:29-35.
- [13] Neilson J. Fetal electrocardiogram for fetal monitoring during labour (Review). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 5. Art. No.: CD000116. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000116.pub4
- [14] Miller D. Intrapartum fetal heart rate definitions and interpretation: evolving consensus. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 2011;54(1):16-21.
- [15] Dupuis O, Simon A. Fetal monitoring during the active second stage of labour. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris)* 2008;37(Suppl 1):S93-100.
- [16] American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Management of intrapartum fetal heart rate tracings. Practice bulletin No. 116. *Obstet Gynecol* 2010;116:1232-1240

Figure 1: Cases of intrapartum asphyxia in 2012 at Jan Yperman Hospital, Ieper, Belgium



↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

4 preventable **3 possibly preventable** 12 not preventable **1 preventable**

Table 1: Preventable and possibly preventable cases of metabolic acidosis

gestational age	pHa	BE	Apgar 1'	Apgar 5'	mec	duration pushing	CTG second stage	ST event	operative delivery	neonatology admission	preventable	reason (possibly) preventable
39+5	6.9	-14.9	4	6	N	42'	abnormal followed by 17' no monitor	-	N	N	Y	no monitoring, no operative delivery
40+2	7.01	-14	9	10	Y	66'	abnormal	S	N	N	Y	delivery > 5-10' after event, no operative

39+2	6.94	-15.6	2	9	J	0'	abnormal	S	CS	Y	Y	delivery delayed intervention
39+4	7	-16.6	9	10	N	30'	abnormal	S	V	N	Y	delivery > 5-10' after event
40+2	6.93	-16.3	3	5	N	28'	abnormal	-	N	Y	P	30 minutes bradycardia, no operative delivery
41+2	7.01	-13.3	9	10	N	21'	-	-	V	N	P	no monitoring
40+1	7.05	-12.8	7	9	Y	28'	-	-	N	N	P	no monitoring

Legend table 1: pHa = arterial pH, BE = base excess, N = no, Y = yes, P = possibly, mec = meconium stained amniotic fluid

S = significant

V = vacuum extraction

CS = caesarean sectio

JUST ACCEPTED